

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,647	09/09/2003	Scott O. Trerotola	UPN-4236	1983
23377	7590 05/15/2006		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET			MEHTA, BHISMA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	HIA, PA 19103		3767	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,647	TREROTOLA, SCOTT O.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bhisma Mehta	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	CATION. Sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>09 September 2003</u> .					
,-						
•						
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		:				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	: :				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	: ! . !				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	roign priority under 25 H.S.C. &	110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reight phonty under 33 0.3.0. §	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	: !				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		oplication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·				
application from the International B						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 	-,	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	-				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,647

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a catheter, classified in class 604, subclass 264.
- II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a method for treating a patient, classified in class 604, subclass 508.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as to deliver a stent to a desired body location.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process Application/Control Number: 10/658,647

Art Unit: 3767

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Michael P. Dunnam on May 4, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/658,647

Art Unit: 3767

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bhisma Mehta whose telephone number 571-272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEVIN SIRMONS Keirn C. Sermons 5/9/06